

Research Evaluation Form for Promotion to the Associate Professor Rank

Candidate's Nam:

Department:

Reviewer's Name:

Address:

To Prof. Dr.....(Reviewer):

Please indicate your knowledge of the candidate's expertise by indicating the following:

☐ I am currently working in the candidate's specific area of competence.

☐ I have previously done research in the candidate's specific area of competence.

☐ My experience is in the general domain of the candidate's competence and not in the specific field of his competence.

☐ other areas

Comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

If you feel that you are unable to evaluate the submitted research, please suggest the names of other scholars who can assist us in this regard:

Name..... :

Address..... :

Name..... :

Address" :

The questions from 1 to 8 are related to the candidate's characteristics as a researcher through their research. Please explain your assessment for each characteristic along with the justifications for your assessment.

Important Note: Kindly provide detailed justifications for each assessment you choose for the questions listed below.

1. How do you assess the candidate's productivity in terms of quantity and frequency of their research output?

☐ Poor

☐ Good

☐ Very Good

☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

2. What is your general assessment of the quality of the scientific journals in which the candidate has published their research?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

3. What is your overall assessment of the quality of the conferences in which the candidate has published/participated?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

4. What is your assessment of the originality and creativity in the candidate's research?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

5. What is your assessment of the importance of the candidate's research in their field of specialization?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

6. What is your assessment of the candidate's level of independence in their research work?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

7. What is your assessment of the level of citations for the candidate's research?

- ☐ Poor
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

8. To what extent do you believe the candidate has achieved a prestigious academic status?

☐ Poor

☐ Good

☐ Very Good

☐ Excellent

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

9. If we assume that your university will adopt the same research criteria applied at Hafar Al-Batin University for promotion to the Associate Professor rank (please review the attached criteria), what are the candidate's chances of promotion at your university?

- ☐ Eligible for promotion
- ☐ Eligible for promotion with reservations
- ☐ Not eligible for promotion

The detailed justifications for the assessment:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Name of the Reviewer professor:

Reviewer signature:

Date:

IBAN Number: